

STATE ELECTION (PRESIDENTIAL)

Nov. 3, 2020

Town of Hanson

	Precinct I	Precinct II	Precinct III	EV Pre I	EV Pre II	EV Pre III	Total
ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND							
VICE PRESIDENT (vote for one)							
BIDEN and HARRIS	290	311	311	808	814	710	3244
HAWKINS and WALKER	4	6	2	7	10	5	34
JORGENSEN and COHEN	13	15	26	29	28	14	125
TRUMP and PENCE	612	580	615	511	515	481	3314
CARROLL and PATEL	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Write Ins (all others)	1	2	2	6	10	1	22
Blanks	7	9	10	12	12	8	58
SENATOR IN CONGRESS (vote for one)							
EDWARD J. MARKEY	299	322	331	809	789	699	3249
KEVIN J. O'CONNOR	594	571	605	524	568	490	3352
Write Ins (all others)	1	1	1	1	1	1	6
Dr. Shiva Ayyadurai	7	4	10	12	0	2	35
Blanks	26	25	19	27	31	29	157
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS							
Ninth District (Vote for one)							
BILL KEATING	314	359	339	817	849	724	3402
HELEN BRADY	552	499	551	478	467	399	2946
MICHAEL MANLEY	20	19	36	15	21	30	141
Write Ins (all others)	0	2	0	1	1	2	6
Blanks	41	44	40	62	51	66	304
COUNCILLOR							
Fourth District (vote for one)							
CHRISTOPHER A. IANNELLA, JR.	543	572	567	966	1020	886	4554
Write Ins (all others)	5	16	16	10	16	7	70
Blanks	379	335	383	397	353	328	2175
SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT							
Second Plymouth & Bristol District (vote for one)							
MICHAEL D. BRADY	551	570	573	964	1028	879	4565
Write Ins (all others)	6	13	12	10	15	7	63
Blanks	370	340	381	399	346	335	2171
REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT							
Sixth Plymouth District (vote for one)							
JOSHUA CUTLER	348	376	379	857	862	738	3560
TATYANA MEDVEDEV SEMYROG	550	520	561	473	505	438	3047
Write Ins (all others)	0	10	1	0	0	1	12
Blanks	29	17	25	43	22	44	180
REGISTER OF PROBATE							
Plymouth County (vote for one)							

MATTHEW J. MCDONOUGH	547	582	568	974	1023	886	4580
Write Ins (all others)	5	14	11	8	15	7	60
Blanks	375	327	387	391	351	328	2159
COUNTY COMMISSIONER							
Plymouth County (vote for not more than two)							
GREG HANLEY	279	323	336	710	701	623	2972
JOHN PATRICK RIORDAN	162	180	177	438	487	416	1860
JARED VALANZOLA	535	479	539	509	502	446	3010
Write Ins (all others)	1	0	2	1	2	1	7
Write Ins (all others)	0	4	2	0	1	0	7
Blanks	877	860	876	1088	1085	956	5742
COUNTY TREASURER							
Plymouth County (vote for one)							
THOMAS J. O'BRIEN	368	407	387	843	874	753	3632
CARINA LEESA MOMPELAS	478	428	502	406	404	365	2583
Write Ins (all others)	0	2	0	0	1	2	5
Blanks	81	86	77	124	110	101	579

QUESTION 1: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 5, 2020?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would require that motor vehicle owners and independent repair facilities be provided with expanded access to mechanical data related to vehicle maintenance and repair.

Starting with model year 2022, the proposed law would require manufacturers of motor vehicles sold in Massachusetts to equip any such vehicles that use telematics systems — systems that collect and wirelessly transmit mechanical data to a remote server — with a standardized open access data platform. Owners of motor vehicles with telematics systems would get access to mechanical data through a mobile device application. With vehicle owner authorization, independent repair facilities (those not affiliated with a manufacturer) and independent dealerships would be able to retrieve mechanical data from, and send commands to, the vehicle for repair, maintenance, and diagnostic testing.

Under the proposed law, manufacturers would not be allowed to require authorization before owners or repair facilities could access mechanical data stored in a motor vehicle's on-board diagnostic system, except through an authorization process standardized across all makes and models and administered by an entity unaffiliated with the manufacturer.

The proposed law would require the Attorney General to prepare a notice for prospective motor vehicle owners and lessees explaining telematics systems and the proposed law's requirements concerning access to the vehicle's mechanical data. Under the proposed law, dealers would have to provide prospective owners with, and prospective owners would have to acknowledge receipt of, the notice before buying or leasing a vehicle. Failure to comply with these notice requirements would subject motor vehicle dealers to sanctions by the applicable licensing authority.

Motor vehicle owners and independent repair facilities could enforce this law through state consumer protection laws and recover civil penalties of the greater of treble damages or \$10,000 per violation.

A YES VOTE would provide motor vehicle owners and independent repair facilities with expanded access to wirelessly transmitted mechanical data related to their vehicles' maintenance and repair.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the law governing access to vehicles' wirelessly transmitted mechanical data.

	Precinct I	Precinct II	Precinct III	EV Pre I	EV Pre II	Pre III	Total
YES	668	678	687	1028	1079	898	5038
NO	240	219	263	309	280	288	1599
BLANKS	19	26	16	36	30	35	162

QUESTION 2: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 5, 2020?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would implement a voting system known as “ranked-choice voting,” in which voters rank one or more candidates by order of preference. Ranked-choice voting would be used in primary and general elections for all Massachusetts statewide offices, state legislative offices, federal congressional offices, and certain other offices beginning in 2022. Ranked-choice voting would not be used in elections for president, county commissioner, or regional district school committee member.

Under the proposed law, votes would be counted in a series of rounds. In the first round, if one candidate received more than 50 percent of the first-place votes, that candidate would be declared the winner and no other rounds would be necessary. If no candidate received more than 50 percent of the first-place votes, then the candidate or candidates who received the fewest first-place votes would be eliminated and, in the next round, each vote for an eliminated candidate would instead be counted toward the next highest-ranked candidate on that voter’s ballot. Depending on the number of candidates, additional rounds of counting could occur, with the last-place candidate or candidates in each round being eliminated and the votes for an eliminated candidate going to the voter’s next choice out of the remaining candidates. A tie for last place in any round would be broken by comparing the tied candidates’ support in earlier rounds. Ultimately, the candidate who was, out of the remaining candidates, the preference of a majority of voters would be declared the winner.

Ranked-choice voting would be used only in races where a single candidate is to be declared the winner and not in races where more than one person is to be elected.

Under the proposed law, if no candidate received more than 50 percent of first-place votes in the first round, the rounds of ballot-counting necessary for ranked-choice voting would be conducted at a central tabulation facility. At the facility, voters’ rankings would be entered into a computer, which would then be used to calculate the results of each round of the counting process. The proposed law provides that candidates in a statewide or district election would have at least three days to request a recount.

The Secretary of State would be required to issue regulations to implement the proposed law and conduct a voter education campaign about the ranked-choice voting process. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2022

A YES VOTE would create a system of ranked-choice voting in which voters would have the option to rank candidates in order of preference and votes would be counted in rounds, eliminating candidates with the lowest votes until one candidate has received a majority.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws governing voting and how votes are counted.

	Precinct I	Precinct II	Precinct III	EV Pre I	EV Pre II	EV Pre III	Total
YES	196	244	265	398	439	389	1931
NO	696	656	680	915	909	783	4639
BLANKS	35	23	21	60	41	49	229
TOTAL BALLOTS CAST	927	923	966	1373	1389	1221	6799

A true copy of the vote, Attest:

Elizabeth Sloan, CMC, CMMC
Town Clerk