

Town of Hanson
542 Liberty Street, Hanson, MA 02341

State Election - Nov. 2, 2010

State Election November 2, 2010		Town of Hanson			
		Precinct I	Precinct II	Precinct III	Total
	Governor & Lieutenant Governor				
	Patrick and Murray - Democratic	474	475	455	1404
	Baker and Tisei - Republican	783	757	820	2360
	Cahill and Loscocco - Independent	167	179	186	532
	Stein and Purcell - Green-Rainbow	11	16	19	46
	Write-Ins Scattered	0	0	1	1
	Blanks	17	12	17	46
	Attorney General				
	Martha Coakley - Democratic	746	725	751	2222
	James P. McKenna - Republican	674	677	717	2068
	Write-Ins Scattered	0	0	1	1
	Blanks	32	37	29	98
	Secretary of State				
	William Francis Galvin - Democratic	784	776	781	2341
	William C. Campbell - Republican	575	569	617	1761
	James D. Henderson - Unenrolled	34	33	40	107
	Write-Ins Scattered	0	0	0	0
	Blanks	59	61	60	180
	Treasurer				
	Steven Grossman	626	601	614	1841

	- Democratic				
	Karyn E. Polito - Republican	776	785	820	2381
	Write-Ins Scattered	0	0	0	0
	Blanks	50	53	64	167
	Auditor				
	Suzanne M. Bump - Democratic	542	517	505	1564
	Mary Z. Connaughton - Republican	768	796	817	2381
	Nathanael Alexander Fortune - Green- Rainbow	45	33	72	150
	Write-Ins Scattered	1	0	0	1
	Blanks	96	93	104	293
	Representative in Congress 9th District				
	Stephen F. Lynch - Democratic	785	0	770	1555
	Vernon M. Harrison - Republican	567	0	605	1172
	Philip Dunkelbarger - Independent	47	0	60	107
	Write-Ins	1	0	0	1
	Blanks	52	0	63	115
	Representative in Congress 10th District				
	William R. Keating - Democratic	0	609	0	609
	Jeffrey Davis Perry - Republican	0	627	0	627
	Maryanne Lewis - Independent	0	90	0	90
	Joe Van Nes - Bring Home Troops	0	12	0	12
	James A. Sheets - Independent	0	56	0	56
	Write-Ins Scattered	0	0	0	0
	Blanks	0	45	0	45
	State Election November 2, 2010		Town of Hanson		
			Precinct I	Precinct II	Precinct III
Total		Councillor			

		4th District			
		Christopher A. Iannella, Jr. - Democratic	680	650	636
1966		Jacquelyn Payne-Thompson - Independent	583	604	663
1850		Write-Ins Scattered	2	0	4
6		Blanks	187	185	195
567		Senator in General Court 2nd Plymouth & Bristol District			
			Thomas P. Kennedy - Democratic	991	964
1004	2959		Write-Ins Scattered	10	5
9	24		Blanks	451	470
485	1406		Representative in General Court 6th Plymouth District		
				Daniel K. Webster - Republican	677
704	736	2117		Josh S. Cutler - Democratic	746
713	731	2190		Write-Ins Scattered	0
0	1	1		Blanks	29
22	30	81		District Attorney Plymouth District	
				Timothy J. Cruz - Republican	986
1014	1043	3043		John F. Shea - Democratic	404
367	385	1156		Write-Ins Scattered	0
0	0	0		Blanks	62
58	70	190		Sheriff Plymouth County	
				Joseph D. McDonald Jr. - Republican	1132
1125	1176	3433		Thomas Chambers	7
13	8	28		Write-Ins Scattered	5
4	2	11		Blanks	308
297	312	917		County Commissioner Plymouth County	
				Timothy J. McMullen - Democratic	619
623	611	1853		Sandra M. Wright - Republican	708
692	751	2151		Write-Ins	0

				Scattered	
1	0	1		Blanks	125
123	136	384		Plymouth County Charter Commission - District Eight	
				Caitlin M. Cavanaugh	260
272	266	798		Richard J. Flynn	961
941	1017	2919		Write-Ins Scattered	1
2	2	5		Blanks	230
224	213	667		State Election November 2, 2010	
Town of Hanson					QUESTION 1: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION
				Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the	
	House of Representatives before May 4, 2010?				
	SUMMARY				
	This proposed law would remove the Massachusetts sales tax on alcoholic beverages and alcohol,				
	where the sale of such beverages and alcohol or their importation into the state is already subject to a				
	separate excise tax under state law. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2011.				
		A YES VOTE would remove the state sales tax on alcoholic beverages and alcohol where their sale or			
	importation into the state is subject to an excise tax under state law.				
		A NO VOTE would make no change in the state sales tax on alcoholic beverages and alcohol.			
		Precinct I	Precinct II	Precinct III	Total
	YES	904	846	943	2693
	NO	488	523	485	1496
	BLANKS	60	70	70	200
	QUESTION 2: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION				
		Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the			
	House of Representatives before May 4, 2010?				
	SUMMARY				
	This proposed law would repeal an existing state law that allows a qualified organization wishing to build				
	government-subsidized housing that includes low- or moderate-income units to apply for a single				
	comprehensive permit from a city or town's zoning board of appeals (ZBA), instead of separate permits from				

	each local agency or official having jurisdiction over any aspect of the proposed housing. The repeal would		
	take effect on January 1, 2011, but would not stop or otherwise affect any proposed housing that had already		
	received both a comprehensive permit and a building permit for at least one unit.		
		Under the existing law, the ZBA holds a public hearing on the application and considers the	
		recommendations of local agencies and officials. The ZBA may grant a comprehensive permit that may	
	include conditions or requirements concerning the height, site plan, size, shape, or building materials of the		
	housing. Persons aggrieved by the ZBA's decision to grant a permit may appeal it to a court. If the ZBA		
	denies the permit or grants it with conditions or requirements that make the housing uneconomic to build or		
	to operate, the applicant may appeal to the state Housing Appeals Committee (HAC).		
		After a hearing, if the HAC rules that the ZBA's denial of a comprehensive permit was unreasonable and	
	not consistent with local needs, the HAC orders the ZBA to issue the permit. If the HAC rules that the ZBA's		
	decision issuing a comprehensive permit with conditions or requirements made the housing uneconomic to		
	build or operate and was not consistent with local needs, the HAC orders the ZBA to modify or remove any		
	such condition or requirement so as to make the proposal no longer uneconomic. The HAC cannot order the		
	ZBA to issue any permit that would allow the housing to fall below minimum safety standards or site plan		
	requirements. If the HAC rules that the ZBA's action was consistent with local needs, the HAC must uphold		
	it even if it made the housing uneconomic. The HAC's decision is subject to review in the courts.		
	A condition or requirement makes housing "uneconomic" if it would prevent a public agency or non-profit		
	organization from building or operating the housing except at a financial loss, or it would prevent a limited		
	dividend organization from building or operating the housing without a reasonable return on its investment.		
	A ZBA's decision is "consistent with local needs" if it applies requirements that are reasonable in view of		
	State Election November 2, 2010		Town of Hanson
		the regional need for low- and moderate-income housing and the number of low-income persons in the city or	
	town, as well as the need to protect health and safety, promote better site and building design, and preserve		
	open space, if those requirements are applied as equally as possible to both subsidized and unsubsidized		
	housing. Requirements are considered "consistent with local needs" if more than 10% of the city or town's		
	housing units are low- or moderate-income units or if such units are on sites making up at least 1.5% of the		
	total private land zoned for residential, commercial, or industrial use in the city or town. Requirements are		
	also considered "consistent with local needs" if the application would result, in any one calendar year, in		

	beginning construction of low- or moderate-income housing on sites making up more than 0.3% of the total				
	private land zoned for residential, commercial, or industrial use in the city or town, or on ten acres, whichever				
	is larger.				
	The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.				
	<i>A YES VOTE</i> would repeal the state law allowing the issuance of a single comprehensive permit to build				
	housing that includes low- or moderate-income units.				
		<i>A NO VOTE</i> would make no change in the state law allowing issuance of such a comprehensive permit.			
		Precinct I	Precinct II	Precinct III	Total
	YES	675	698	742	2115
	NO	695	680	681	2056
	BLANKS	82	61	75	218
	QUESTION 3: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION				
		Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of			
	Representatives before May 4, 2010?				
	SUMMARY				
	This proposed law would reduce the state sales and use tax rates (which were 6.25% as of September				
	2009) to 3% as of January 1, 2011. It would make the same reduction in the rate used to determine the				
	amount to be deposited with the state Commissioner of Revenue by non-resident building contractors as				
	security for the payment of sales and use tax on tangible personal property used in carrying out their				
	contracts.				
	The proposed law provides that if the 3% rates would not produce enough revenues to satisfy any lawful				
	pledge of sales and use tax revenues in connection with any bond, note, or other contractual obligation, then				
	the rates would instead be reduced to the lowest level allowed by law.				
		The proposed law would not affect the collection of moneys due the Commonwealth for sales, storage, use			
	or other consumption of tangible personal property or services occurring before January 1, 2011.				
	The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.				
	<i>A YES VOTE</i> would reduce the state sales and use tax rates to 3%.				
		<i>A NO VOTE</i> would make no change in the state sales and use tax rates.			
				Precinct I	Precinct II
Precinct III	Total		YES	730	731
816	2277		NO	704	690
661	2055		BLANKS	18	18
21	57				
			State Election		Town of Hanson

			November 2, 2010		
		QUESTION 4:			
		Shall the Town of Hanson be allowed to exempt from the provisions of proposition two and one-half,			
	so-called, the amounts required to pay the Town's allocable share of the bond issued by the				
		Whitman-Hanson Regional School District for the purpose of paying costs of a feasibility study to			
	understand the best solution to the physical and educational deficiencies of the Maquan Elementary School,				
	located at 38 School Street, Hanson, Massachusetts, which options shall include, but not be limited to				
	renovating, reconstructing, expanding, remodeling and adding to the Maquan Elementary School, or any				
	combination of the foregoing, including the payment of all other costs incidental and related thereto?				
		Precinct I	Precinct II	Precinct III	Total
	YES	723	742	697	2162
	NO	617	615	671	1903
	BLANKS	112	82	130	324
	QUESTION 5:				
	Shall a charter study commission be created to study the present governmental structure of Plymouth				
	County to consider and make findings concerning the form of government and make recommendations				
	thereon?				
		Precinct I	Precinct II	Precinct III	Total
	YES	629	677	657	1963
	NO	701	665	720	2086
	BLANKS	122	97	121	340
	TOTAL BALLOTS CAST	1452	1439	1498	4389
	A true copy of the vote, Attest:				
	Elizabeth Sloan, CMC				
	Town Clerk				